Prerogative Power Reform:

Prerogative Power

- Discretionary power of the executive, not derived from statute and traditionally warranting a degree of judicial deference
- Residual power waiting to be displaced and rightly subject to fully judicial review (Whig)
- Executive functions and competencies that touch upon high policy and political questions (Cavalier)
- Role of monarchical powers in a contemporary democracy
- Relationship between the executive and the legislature
- As either common law or constitutional powers, the role of the courts in determining their scope and proper exercise

Variation

Significant reform in the United Kingdom (Parliament and the courts)

• Executive driven reform in Canada, deferential Parliament and courts

Australia (recent court narrowing)

New Zealand (Vanguard of codification)

National Contexts

- Shared Westminster tradition
 - Crown, ministerial responsibility, Parliament, and common law
- United Kingdom:
 - Parliamentary supremacy

•

Actors

- Executive (Canada)
- Parliament (United Kingdom)
- Courts (Mix of deferent and constraining)
- Civil society (UK and Australia)

Prerogative Areas

Treaties

War and defence powers

Appointments

Reserve powers

Questions

 What aspects of the prerogative merit greater attention, either in terms of understanding them or with a view to reform?

 Is there anything distinct about these powers today, aside from their grounding in royal and/or common law?

 Is there room for prerogative power in contemporary Westminster democracies? Will another from of discretionary authority replace them?