

# RESEARCH GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

# Meeting held on **Tuesday 9 November 2010**

# **MINUTES**

Professor David Price [
Ms Sarah Brant
Lord Hart of Chilton
Mr Tim Perry

In attendance: Dr Michele Dres [ ]

]

Apologies for absence were received from Dr Brian Balmer, Dr Sylvie Delacroix, Professor Raymond MacAllister, Dr Mary Phillips, Dr Arad Reisberg

COI Conflict of Interest
HOD Head of Department
RGC Research Governance Committee
RSC Research Strategy Committee

SCRG [RSC] Sub-Committee on Research Governance

TOR Terms of Reference

UKRIO UK Research Integr3(n)3(c)Sn.c2(g)1.84 77.76 255.68 Tm[(UK)1(RI)8(O)]TJ0 Tc 3.142 [8(3(s)6(t)1)

# 2 MINUTES

## Noted

2.1 The Minutes of the meeting of SCRG held on 3 June 2010 [
], issued previously, were confirmed by RGC and signed by the Chair.

3 ROLE OF HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS IN RESEARCH GOVERNANCE

Noted

3.1 This

that, because: (i) not all research staff were reviewed annually, or by their HoD; and (ii) the extent to which research ethics and governance could be addressed during appraisals was uncertain, it would be desirable for there to be an additional avenue through which staff reflected on the ethics and governance of their research. RGC thought that this additional route should be tailored to the needs of individual departments, and capable of generating a metric according to which its effectiveness could be measured. RGC noted that such a route could build on the preliminary work conducted by the SCRG Working Party on the Misuse of Research [

- ]. RGC therefore agreed that the Chair would propose to Faculty Deans that they raise awareness of research governance and ethics including issues relating to Col, and misuse of research through an annual event of whatever format they considered most appropriate, at which attendance would constitute compliance with UCL's requirement for relevant staff to consider these matters. RGC agreed that the Chair would include this matter in the list of issues to be presented to the Provost's meeting with Heads of Departments in the next academic term.
- 3.7 RGC noted that the the data collected via the tick boxes listed in 3.2 above were metrics for which it had responsibility to oversee and coordinate.

#### Resolved

- 3.8 That the Chair propose to Faculty Deans that they raise awareness of research governance and ethics, including issues relating to CoI and misuse of research, through an annual faculty event at which attendance would constitute compliance with UCL's requirement for relevant staff to consider these matters.

  ACTION: the Chair
- 3.9 That the Chair include the proposal for annual faculty research governance and ethics events, described in 3.6 above, in the list of matters to be presented to the Provost's meeting with Heads of Departments in the next academic term.

  ACTION: the Chair

# 4 GUIDELINES ON ACCEPTABLE RESEARCH FUNDING PROVIDERS

#### Noted

- 4.1 At its meeting held on 3 June 2010, SCRG had noted that while UCL had an Ethical Investment Review Committee there was no similar body for the consideration of acceptable research funding providers. SCRG had agreed that the SCRG Secretary, in consultation with the Chair and the Director of Academic Services, would establish a group to consider acceptable research funding providers.
- 4.2 A Task and Finish Group on Acceptable Research Funding Providers had been established, and was due to meet in the next Academic Term. The members of the group were: Professor Jo Wolff, Philosophy (Chair); Ms Susan Crane, Internati

#### Reported

4.3 It was anticipated that the Task and Finish Group on Acceptable Research Funding Providers would provide RGC with a draft document outlining a set of principles according to which the acceptability of potential research funding providers could be judged.

#### 5 **LAPTOP ENCRYPTION BOARD**

#### Noted

5.1 A UCL Laptop Encryption Board, chaired by the Head of the UCL Computer Security Team, Ms Marion Rosenberg, had been convened to put in place a centrally managed service to encrypt laptops and other mobile devices carrying sensitive or confidential data. The project was currently in its pilotentlent9nt9nRst LAcn(p)3()911(t)84 T27.868

## Received

- 6.4 At <u>APPENDIX RGC 01/06 (10-11)</u> the annual report from the Director of Academic Services on UCL's Procedure for Investigating and Resolving Allegations of Misconduct in Academic Research.
- 6.5 An oral report from

#### Resolved

6.12 That the Director of Academic Services and the Director of Human Resources arrange to: (i) increase the number of RGC's Nominated members to six; and (ii) amend RGC's ToR to indicate that its Nominated members were expected to be willing to lead research misconduct investigations.

ACTION: Director of Academic Services and Director of Human Resources

#### 7 CONFIDENTIALITY OF RGC PROCEEDINGS

#### **Discussion**

7.1 RGC noted that it was difficult to determine in advance of its meetings which parts of its proceedings would need to be recorded as Confidential to the Confimittee